California Child Welfare Services Outcome & Accountability County Data Report

(Child Welfare Supervised Caseload)
San Francisco
October 2006

Quarterly Outcome and Accountability County Data Reports published by the California Department of Social Services (CDSS) provide summary level Federal and State program measures that will serve as the basis for the county self-assessment reviews and be used to track State and county performance over time. It is important that counties not draw comparisons to performance in other counties or even the State as a whole due to the differences in demographics, resources, and practice. The intent of the new system is for each county, through their self-assessment review based on their data, to determine the reasons for their current level of performance and to develop a plan for measurable improvement.

Assembly Bill (AB) 636 requires a series of measures that provide indicators of key program outcomes, processes, and receipt of critical services. The outcome measures are also, at a minimum, consistent with those outcomes of the federal Child and Family Services Review in that the federal indicators are a subset of the State's indicators under this new system. Under the new Outcomes and Accountability System it is expected that the state will not only improve its performance on the federal indicators but on an even broader set of state enhanced indicators. The data in this report focuses on critical safety, stability, family, and well-being measures that are currently available, and that are provided to counties for on-going assessment of their programs' performance. New data are added and some old data have been updated in this report. The data in this report reflect the Original outcomes for data available through April 1, 2006. We have also included Updated (refreshed) data for most time periods, run on data from more recent extracts than those that were originally posted. Differences between the Original and Updated values for these measures are probably due to improved data entry and cleanup efforts in some counties and Quarter 3, 2005 and Quarter 4, 2005 modifications in CWS/CMS to county of removal and placement counter variables. Uniform Resource Locators (URLs) included in this document direct the viewer to summary data across counties and breakouts by age, race, gender, and over time, including refreshed data for time periods earlier than those included in this report for all UCB developed measures. This Outcome and Accountability County Data Report will provide the state with a county-by-county detailed description of each element that comprises the service delivery system.

The data source for these reports is the Child Welfare Services/Case Management System (CWS/CMS), which became fully operational in all 58 counties on December 31, 1997. Counties are responsible for inputting data on CWS/CMS as part of their process to manage their caseloads of children and families who receive child welfare services. The accuracy of the information derived from CWS/CMS is continuously improving. As with any large automation system it provides a broad range of challenges and benefits as it continues to undergo improvements to keep abreast of the changing child welfare system.

Comparison of data across counties should be done with caution. First, counties may have

different data management practices. Though data are recorded on one statewide database system (CWS/CMS), differences in data entry and update may influence outcome measures reported here. Second, the social and economic contexts within which child welfare services are provided vary widely among the 58 counties of California.

In this report data measures have been grouped into the four general categories of information: Child Welfare Services Participation Rates; Safety Outcomes; Permanency Outcomes; and Child and Family Well-Being Outcomes. The data for these categories are presented as follows:

CHILD WELFARE SERVICES PARTICIPATION RATES

This section provides data on the number, and number per 1,000 children in the county/state, for key child welfare indicators. It is intended as background information to assist your county in analyzing your county's performance by the outcome indicators. This section was developed by the University of California, Berkeley (UCB).

Number of children < 18 in population

Population projections from California Department of Finance (based on the 2000 U.S. Census).

URL: http://cssr.berkeley.edu/CWSCMSreports/Referrals/rates.asp#countyrates

Year	Number
2005	121,972
2004	119,555
2003	117,613
2002	113,961

Number and rate of children with referrals

Unduplicated count of child clients < age 18 in referrals during the indicated year, per 1,000 children < age 18 in population.

URL: http://cssr.berkeley.edu/CWSCMSreports/Referrals/rates.asp#countyrates

Year	Number	Rate		
		Original Updated		
2005	5,687	46.6 per 1,000		
2004	5,920	49.7 per 1,000	49.5 per 1,000	
2003	5,957	50.9 per 1,000	50.6 per 1,000	
2002	5,398	47.3 per 1,000	47.4 per 1,000	

Number and rate of children with substantiated referrals

Unduplicated count of child clients < age 18 in referrals during the indicated year that had substantiated allegations, per 1,000 children < age 18 in population.

URL: http://cssr.berkeley.edu/CWSCMSreports/Referrals/rates.asp#countyrates

Year	Number	Rate		
		Original Updated		
2005	1,178	9.7 per 1,000		
2004	1,245	10.4 per 1,000 10.4 per 1		
2003	1,450	12.3 per 1,000	12.3 per 1,000	
2002	1,385	12.2 per 1,000	12.2 per 1,000	

Number and rate of first entries

Unduplicated count of children < age 18 entering a child welfare supervised placement episode of at least five days duration for the first time during the indicated year, per 1,000 children < age 18 in population.

URL: http://cssr.berkeley.edu/CWSCMSreports/Cohorts/firstentries/Rates.asp

Year	Number	Rate		
		Original Update		
2005	323	2.6 per 1,000		
2004	375	3.2 per 1,000 3.1 per 1,		
2003	456	4.0 per 1,000	3.9 per 1,000	
2002	493	4.3 per 1,000	4.3 per 1,000	

Number and rate of children in care

Number of children < age 19 in child welfare supervised foster care on the indicated date, per 1,000 children < age 19 in population.

URL: http://cssr.berkeley.edu/CWSCMSreports/Pointintime/fostercare/childwel/prevalence.asp

Date	Number	Rate		
		Original Updated		
Jul 1, 2005	2,035	16.2 per 1,000	15.9 per 1,000	
Jul 1, 2004	2,201	17.9 per 1,000	17.5 per 1,000	
Jul 1, 2003	2,278	18.8 per 1,000	18.4 per 1,000	

SAFETY OUTCOMES

These measures are designed to reflect the effectiveness of efforts to protect children from abuse/neglect by reporting instances of abuse and neglect at various stages of child welfare services and process measures which reflect the frequency of social worker contact with children and the speed of face-to-face investigation of abuse/neglect allegations.

Recurrence of Maltreatment (1A and 1B)

This measure reflects the percent of children who were victims of child abuse/neglect with a subsequent substantiated report of abuse/neglect within specific time periods. It is both a state and federal outcome measure. This measure was developed by UCB.

Federal: Of all children with a substantiated allegation within the first six months of the 12month study period, what percent had another substantiated allegation within six months? (limited to dispositions within the study year, according to federal guidelines). URL: http://cssr.berkeley.edu/CWSCMSreports/cfsrdata/standards/cfsr_recurrence.asp

1A. Percent recurrence of maltreatment (Fed)		
12-month study period	Original	Updated
04/01/05-03/31/06	6.2%	
01/01/05-12/31/05	8.1%	
10/01/04-09/30/05	11.0%	10.9%
07/01/04-06/30/05	10.1%	10.1%
04/01/04-03/31/05	9.3%	9.3%
01/01/04-12/31/04	7.6%	7.6%
10/01/03-09/30/04	10.7%	10.7%
07/01/03-06/30/04	14.3%	14.2%
04/01/03-03/31/04	13.5%	13.8%
01/01/03-12/31/03	11.7%	12.0%
10/01/02-09/30/03	9.0%	9.0%
07/01/02-06/30/03	9.2%	9.3%

State: Of all children with a substantiated referral during the 12-month study period, what percent had a subsequent substantiated referral within 12 months?

URL: http://cssr.berkeley.edu/CWSCMSreports/Referrals/recurrence.asp

1B. Percent recurrence of maltreatment within 12 months					
12-month study period	Original	Updated			
04/01/04-03/31/05	14.9%				
01/01/04-12/31/04	15.1%				
10/01/03-09/30/04	16.4%	16.4%			
07/01/03-06/30/04	16.9%	16.9%			
04/01/03-03/31/04	16.4%	16.5%			
01/01/03-12/31/03	18.1%	18.2%			
10/01/02-09/30/03	17.5%	17.6%			
07/01/02-06/30/03	17.0%	17.2%			
04/01/02-03/31/03	16.6%	16.8%			
01/01/02-12/31/02	15.3%	15.4%			
10/01/01-09/30/02	16.9%	17.0%			
07/01/01-06/30/02	16.0%	16.0%			

State: Of all children with a *first* substantiated referral during the 12-month study period, what percent had a subsequent substantiated referral within 12 months?

URL: http://cssr.berkeley.edu/CWSCMSreports/Referrals/recurrence.asp

Percent recurrence of maltreatment within 12 months after first substantiated allegation				
12-month study period	Original	Updated		
04/01/04-03/31/05	13.3%			
01/01/04-12/31/04	12.9%			
10/01/03-09/30/04	13.2%	13.2%		
07/01/03-06/30/04	14.4%	14.4%		
04/01/03-03/31/04	14.3%	14.3%		
01/01/03-12/31/03	15.1%	15.0%		
10/01/02-09/30/03	14.7%	14.7%		
07/01/02-06/30/03	13.3%	13.4%		
04/01/02-03/31/03	13.7%	13.7%		
01/01/02-12/31/02	13.4%	13.5%		
10/01/01-09/30/02	14.0%	14.1%		
07/01/01-06/30/02	14.4%	14.3%		

Rate of Child Abuse and/or Neglect in Foster Care (1C)

This measure reflects the percent of children in foster care who are abused or neglected while in foster care placement. This data was developed by UCB. It is a federal outcome measure, but for a period of 12 months instead of 9 months.

For all children in child welfare supervised foster care during the twelve month review period, what percent had a substantiated allegation by a foster parent during that time?

URL: http://cssr.berkeley.edu/CWSCMSreports/cfsrdata/standards/cfsr_abuse.asp

1C. Percent rate of child abuse and/or neglect in foster care (Fed) ¹				
12-month study period	Original	Updated		
04/01/05-03/31/06	0.21%			
01/01/05-12/31/05	0.25%			
10/01/04-09/30/05	0.24%			
07/01/04-06/30/05	0.20%			
04/01/04-03/31/05	0.08%			
01/01/04-12/31/04	0.00%			
10/01/03-09/30/04	0.04%			
07/01/03-06/30/04	0.04%			
04/01/03-03/31/04	0.04%			
01/01/03-12/31/03	0.04%			
10/01/02-09/30/03	0.00%			
07/01/02-06/30/03	0.00%			

¹ The Quarter 4, 2005 CFSR abuse in care reports employ a new method and should not be compared to the previously published abuse in care measure:

^{1.} This new method includes all children served, not just those in non-relative foster care (foster homes or FFAs) and covers a 12 month period. For details, please see the methodology at:

http://cssr.berkeley.edu/CWSCMSreports/cfsrdata/standards/method_ACLabuseinCare.html

^{2.} Capturing this data involves new data instructions for counties. As a result, the current numbers are likely an undercount. An All County Letter (ACL), distributed on December 3, 2003, discusses the method of populating the necessary variables. The ACL can be viewed at: http://www.dss.cahwnet.gov/getinfo/acl03/pdf/03-61.pdf

Rate of Recurrence of Abuse and/or Neglect in Homes Where Children Were Not Removed (2A)

This measure reflects the occurrence of abuse and/or neglect of children who remain in their own homes. This data was developed by CDSS. It is a state outcome measure.

Of all the children with allegation (inconclusive or substantiated) during the 12-month study period who were not removed, what percent had a subsequent substantiated allegation within 12 months?

URL: http://cssr.berkeley.edu/CWSCMSreports/Ccfsr.asp#2A

2A. Percent rate of recurrence of abuse/neglect in homes where children were not removed		
12-month study period	Original	Updated
04/01/04-03/31/05	10.4%	
01/01/04-12/31/04	11.0%	
10/01/03-09/30/04	11.1%	
07/01/03-06/30/04	11.1%	11.1%
04/01/03-03/31/04	10.5%	10.5%
01/01/03-12/31/03	12.8%	12.9%
10/01/02-09/30/03	12.2%	12.2%
07/01/02-06/30/03	12.7%	12.7%
04/01/02-03/31/03	11.8%	11.8%
01/01/02-12/31/02	10.9%	10.9%
10/01/01-09/30/02	10.4%	10.6%
07/01/01-06/30/02	11.1%	

Child Abuse/Neglect Referrals with a Timely Response (2B)

This is a process measure designed to determine the percent of cases in which face-to-face contact with a child occurs, or is attempted, within the regulatory time frames in those situations in which a determination is made that the abuse or neglect allegations indicate significant danger to the child. This data was developed by CDSS. It is a state process measure.

Percent of investigated child abuse and neglect referrals in the study quarter that have resulted in an in-person investigation stratified by immediate response and ten-day referrals, for both planned and actual visits.

URL: http://cssr.berkeley.edu/CWSCMSreports/Ccfsr.asp#2B

2B. Percent of child abuse/neglect referrals with a timely response				
	Immediate Response		10-Day Response Compliance	
	Compliance			
	Original	Updated	Original	Updated
Q1 2006	92.7%		95.4%	
Q4 2005	93.8%		93.0%	
Q3 2005	92.1%		93.5%	
Q2 2005	91.2%	91.2%	93.5%	93.6%
Q1 2005	94.9%	94.9%	93.7%	93.4%
Q4 2004	92.1%	92.2%	91.8%	91.9%
Q3 2004	87.8%	87.2%	92.3%	91.8%
Q2 2004	91.0%	90.2%	88.9%	88.8%
Q1 2004	92.1%	91.0%	89.7%	89.6%
Q4 2003	91.5%	91.6%	84.1%	83.7%
Q3 2003	91.2%	91.2%	90.0%	89.8%
Q2 2003	94.5%		90.5%	

Timely Social Worker Visits With Child (2C)

This is a process measure designed to determine if social workers are seeing the children on a monthly basis when that is required. Children for whom a determination is made that monthly visits are not necessary (e.g. valid visit exception) are not included in this measure. This data was developed by CDSS. It is a state process measure. This report is based on CWS/CMS only. (Other data analysis measurements such as the SafeMeasures application may provide different results.)

Of all children who required a monthly social worker visit, how many received a monthly visit? URL: http://cssr.berkeley.edu/CWSCMSreports/Ccfsr.asp#2C

2C. Percent of timely social worker visits with child ²						
	Original	Updated	Original	Updated	Original	Updated
Q1 2006	Jan 2006 96.9%		Feb	2006	Mar	2006
	96.9%		97.1%		97.4%	
Q4 2005	Oct 2		Nov		Dec	2005
	94.8%		95.5%		96.1%	
Q3 2005	Jul 2		Aug	2005	Sep	
	88.3%		88.9%		90.0%	
Q2 2005	Apr 2	2005	May	2005	Jun :	2005
	86.2%		87.0%		88.0%	
Q1 2005	Jan :	2005	Feb	2005	Mar	2005
	82.2%		82.7%		84.9%	
Q4 2004	Oct 2004		Nov 2004		Dec	
	77.4%		78.8%		79.4%	
Q3 2004	Jul 2 70.8%	2004	Aug	2004	Sep	2004
	70.8%		72.3%		72.6%	
Q2 2004	Apr 2	2004	May		June	2004
	73.3%	71.2%	73.6%	72.7%	73.3%	73.3%
Q1 2004	Jan 2004		Feb		Mar	
	65.5%	65.5%	66.9%	66.9%	67.8%	67.8%
Q4 2003	Oct 2003		Nov	2003	Dec	
		64.9%	66.3%	66.2%	67.3%	67.3%
Q3 2003	Jul 2		Aug		Sep	2003
	67.6%		69.3%		70.1%	
Q2 2003	Apr 2 65.7%	2003	May	2003	Jun :	2003
	65.7%		67.7%		69.4%	

_

² Updates to the measure 2C code beginning in Quarter 4, 2004 resulted in a small jump in the percentages from Quarter 3, 2004 to Quarter 4, 2004

PERMANENCY OUTCOMES

These measures are designed to reflect the number of foster care placements for each child, the length of time a child is in foster care, and the rate that children re-enter foster care after they have returned home or other permanent care arrangements have been made.

Length of Time to Exit Foster Care to Reunification (3E and 3A)

This is an outcome measure reflecting the percent of children reunified within 12 months of removal of a child from the home. The data was developed by UCB. It is a federal and state outcome measure.

<u>Federal</u>: Of all children who were reunified from child welfare supervised foster care during the 12-month study period, what percent had been in care for less than 12 months?

URL: <a href="http://cssr.berkeley.edu/CWSCMSreports/cfsrdata/standards/cfsr_standa

3E. Percent reunified within 12 months (Fed)		
12-month study period	Original	Updated
04/01/05-03/31/06	72.3%	
01/01/05-12/31/05	70.4%	
10/01/04-09/30/05	N.A.	64.4%
07/01/04-06/30/05	62.7%	62.7%
04/01/04-03/31/05	59.4%	59.3%
01/01/04-12/31/04	60.4%	60.7%
10/01/03-09/30/04	65.3%	65.4%
07/01/03-06/30/04	68.3%	69.3%
04/01/03-03/31/04	66.0%	65.0%
01/01/03-12/31/03	66.1%	63.6%
10/01/02-09/30/03	64.0%	63.3%
07/01/02-06/30/03	62.3%	60.6%

<u>State</u>: For all children who entered foster care for the first time (and stayed at least five days) during the 12-month study period, what percent were reunified within 12 months?

URL: http://cssr.berkeley.edu/CWSCMSreports/Cohorts/exits/

3A. Percent reunified within 12 months (entry cohort)		
12-month study period	Original	Updated
04/01/04-03/31/05	40.8%	
01/01/04-12/31/04	35.6%	
10/01/03-09/30/04	N.A.	34.9%
07/01/03-06/30/04	33.0%	33.9%
04/01/03-03/31/04	31.5%	33.0%
01/01/03-12/31/03	33.7%	35.2%
10/01/02-09/30/03	34.1%	36.3%
07/01/02-06/30/03	32.4%	34.6%
04/01/02-03/31/03	33.7%	33.4%
01/01/02-12/31/02	35.0%	34.8%
10/01/01-09/30/02	31.4%	31.5%
07/01/01-06/30/02	28.8%	30.0%

Length of Time to Exit Foster Care to Adoption (3D and 3A)

This is an outcome measure reflecting the percent of children adopted within 24 months of removal of a child from the home. The data was developed by UCB. It is a federal and state outcome measure.

<u>Federal</u>: Of all children who were adopted from child welfare supervised foster care during the 12-month study period, what percent had been in care for less than 24 months?

URL: http://cssr.berkeley.edu/CWSCMSreports/cfsrdata/standards/cfsr_standardsForm.asp

3D. Percent adopted within 24 months (Fed)		
12-month study period	Original	Updated
04/01/05-03/31/06	18.1%	
01/01/05-12/31/05	20.9%	
10/01/04-09/30/05	N.A.	20.9%
07/01/04-06/30/05	19.1%	18.5%
04/01/04-03/31/05	20.6%	19.4%
01/01/04-12/31/04	18.9%	18.4%
10/01/03-09/30/04	21.0%	20.2%
07/01/03-06/30/04	26.7%	26.7%
04/01/03-03/31/04	24.0%	25.0%
01/01/03-12/31/03	23.9%	25.0%
10/01/02-09/30/03	25.2%	26.2%
07/01/02-06/30/03	22.2%	22.9%

<u>State:</u> For all children who entered child welfare supervised foster care for the first time (and stayed at least five days) during the 12-month study period, what percent were adopted within 24 months?

URL: http://cssr.berkeley.edu/CWSCMSreports/Cohorts/exits/

3A. Percent adopted within 24 months (entry cohort)		
12-month study period	Original	Updated
04/01/03-03/31/04	4.7%	
01/01/03-12/31/03	4.8%	
10/01/02-09/30/03	N.A.	4.9%
07/01/02-06/30/03	5.4%	5.2%
04/01/02-03/31/03	5.1%	4.7%
01/01/02-12/31/02	4.7%	4.3%
10/01/01-09/30/02	4.9%	4.6%
07/01/01-06/30/02	5.0%	4.8%
04/01/01-03/31/02	4.5%	4.3%
01/01/01-12/31/01	5.4%	5.3%
10/01/00-09/30/01	4.8%	4.8%
07/01/00-06/30/01	4.3%	4.4%

Multiple Foster Care Placements (3B and 3C)

These measures reflect the number of children with multiple placements within 12 months of placement. This data was developed by UCB. It is a federal and state outcome measure.

<u>Federal</u>: For all children in child welfare supervised foster care for less than 12 months during the 12-month study period, what percent had no more than two placements?

URL: http://cssr.berkeley.edu/CWSCMSreports/cfsrdata/standards/cfsr_standardsForm.asp

3B. Percent with 1-2 placements within 12 months (Fed)		
12-month study period	Original	Updated
04/01/05-03/31/06	89.1%	
01/01/05-12/31/05	89.6%	
10/01/04-09/30/05	N.A.	88.7%
07/01/04-06/30/05	89.6%	90.1%
04/01/04-03/31/05	88.4%	88.7%
01/01/04-12/31/04	89.1%	88.0%
10/01/03-09/30/04	90.2%	90.6%
07/01/03-06/30/04	91.6%	91.8%
04/01/03-03/31/04	92.1%	92.3%
01/01/03-12/31/03	91.5%	90.8%
10/01/02-09/30/03	91.6%	91.1%
07/01/02-06/30/03	90.3%	89.7%

<u>State:</u> For all children who entered child welfare supervised foster care for the first time (and stayed at least five days) during the 12-month study period, and were in care for 12 months, what percent had no more than two placements?

URL: http://cssr.berkeley.edu/CWSCMSreports/cohorts/stability/

3C. Percent with 1-2 placements – if still in care at 12 months (entry cohort)		
12-month study period	Original	Updated
04/01/04-03/31/05	79.1%	
01/01/04-12/31/04	80.5%	
10/01/03-09/30/04	N.A.	81.6%
07/01/03-06/30/04	79.8%	80.9%
04/01/03-03/31/04	83.2%	83.9%
01/01/03-12/31/03	84.4%	85.2%
10/01/02-09/30/03	85.0%	85.5%
07/01/02-06/30/03	81.1%	81.5%
04/01/02-03/31/03	75.2%	75.4%
01/01/02-12/31/02	73.1%	71.6%
10/01/01-09/30/02	76.0%	74.9%
07/01/01-06/30/02	79.9%	77.9%

Rate of Foster Care Re-Entry (3F and 3G)

This measure reflects the number of children who re-enter foster care subsequent to reunification or guardianship. The data was developed by UCB. It is a federal and state outcome measure.

<u>Federal:</u> For all children who entered child welfare supervised foster care during the 12-month study period, what percent were subsequent entries within 12 months of a prior exit?

URL: http://cssr.berkeley.edu/CWSCMSreports/cfsrdata/standards/cfsr_standardsForm.asp

3F. Percent of admissions who are re-entries (Fed)		
12-month study period	Original	Updated
04/01/05-03/31/06	14.8%	
01/01/05-12/31/05	14.5%	
10/01/04-09/30/05	N.A.	15.4%
07/01/04-06/30/05	17.6%	18.0%
04/01/04-03/31/05	17.5%	17.9%
01/01/04-12/31/04	16.6%	17.4%
10/01/03-09/30/04	15.5%	16.3%
07/01/03-06/30/04	15.9%	16.2%
04/01/03-03/31/04	15.4%	15.8%
01/01/03-12/31/03	15.9%	17.0%
10/01/02-09/30/03	16.2%	17.3%
07/01/02-06/30/03	13.0%	14.3%

<u>State</u>: For all children who entered child welfare supervised foster care for the first time (and stayed at least five days) during the 12-month study period and were reunified within 12 months of entry, what percent re-entered foster care within 12 months of reunification?

URL: http://cssr.berkeley.edu/CWSCMSreports/Cohorts/reentries/

3G. Percent who re-entered within 12 months of reunification (entry cohort reunified			
within 12 months)			
12-month study period	Original	Updated	
04/01/03-03/31/04	23.0%		
01/01/03-12/31/03	21.3%		
10/01/02-09/30/03	N.A.	17.2%	
07/01/02-06/30/03	22.0%	23.8%	
04/01/02-03/31/03	21.0%	23.1%	
01/01/02-12/31/02	23.3%	24.1%	
10/01/01-09/30/02	25.0%	28.2%	
07/01/01-06/30/02	23.4%	25.2%	
04/01/01-03/31/02	26.6%	29.5%	
01/01/01-12/31/01	30.8%	33.1%	
10/01/00-09/30/01	29.5%	30.7%	
07/01/00-06/30/01	24.8%	27.9%	

CHILD & FAMILY WELL-BEING OUTCOMES

These measures are designed to reflect the degree to which children in foster care retain relationships with the family and extended communities with whom they are associated at the time of their removal from their parents.

Siblings Placed Together in Foster Care (4A)

These measures reflect the number of children placed with all or some of their siblings in foster care. The data was developed by UCB. It is a state outcome measure.

For all children in child welfare supervised foster care on the point-in-time, of those with siblings in care, what percent were placed with some and/or all of their siblings? URL: http://cssr.berkeley.edu/CWSCMSreports/pointintime/fostercare/childwel/siblings.asp

4A. Percent of children in foster care that are placed with ALL siblings		
Point-in-time	Original	Updated
Apr 1, 2006	49.0%	
Jan 1, 2006	49.1%	
Oct 1, 2005	50.1%	49.9%
Jul 1, 2005	47.3%	48.0%
Apr 1, 2005	47.7%	49.0%
Jan 1, 2005	48.7%	50.2%
Oct 1, 2004	47.9%	50.2%
Jul 1, 2004	50.4%	50.7%
Apr 1, 2004	50.4%	49.8%
Jan 1, 2004	48.4%	50.0%
Oct 1, 2003	49.1%	49.5%
Jul 1, 2003	48.3%	48.5%

4A. Percent of children in foster care that are placed with SOME or ALL siblings		
Point-in-time	Original	Updated
Apr 1, 2006	64.4%	
Jan 1, 2006	64.6%	
Oct 1, 2005	65.1%	66.2%
Jul 1, 2005	63.9%	65.0%
Apr 1, 2005	65.0%	66.6%
Jan 1, 2005	65.0%	67.4%
Oct 1, 2004	63.8%	66.6%
Jul 1, 2004	67.4%	67.2%
Apr 1, 2004	67.0%	66.1%
Jan 1, 2004	65.7%	66.5%
Oct 1, 2003	66.0%	66.1%
Jul 1, 2003	66.0%	65.9%

Foster Care Placement in Least Restrictive Settings (4B)

This measure reflects the percent of children placed in each type of foster care setting. The data was developed by UCB. It is a state outcome measure.

For all children who entered child welfare supervised foster care for the first time (and stayed at least five days) during the 12-month study period, what percent were in kin, foster, FFA, group, and other placements (first placement type, predominant placement type)? What percent of children in child welfare supervised foster care were in kin, foster, FFA, group, and other placements at the specified point in time?

URL: (entry cohort) http://cssr.berkeley.edu/CWSCMSreports/cohorts/firstentries/
URL: (point in time) http://cssr.berkeley.edu/CWSCMSreports/pointintime/fostercare/childwel/ageandethnic.asp

	Initial	Primary	Point in Time
	Placement	Placement	(PIT) Placement
	04/01/05-03/31/06	04/01/05-03/31/06	Apr 1, 2006
	Original	Original	Original
4B. Relative	34.4%	43.3%	53.9%
4B. Foster Home	31.2%	25.5%	9.6%
4B. FFA	19.3%	16.3%	15.9%
4B. Group/Shelter	14.2%	13.6%	11.2%
4B. Other	0.9%	1.2%	9.3%

	Initial	Primary	Point in Time
	Placement	Placement	(PIT) Placement
	01/01/05-12/31/05	01/01/05-12/31/05	Jan 1, 2006
	Original	Original	Original
4B. Relative	32.3%	42.9%	54.9%
4B. Foster Home	30.7%	24.5%	9.1%
4B. FFA	23.6%	17.4%	15.5%
4B. Group/Shelter	13.0%	14.3%	10.9%
4B. Other	0.3%	0.9%	9.5%

	Initial Placement			nary ement	Point in Time (PIT) Placement	
	10/01/04	10/01/04-09/30/05		10/01/04-09/30/05		2005
	Original	Updated	Original	Updated	Original	Updated
4B. Relative	N.A.	31.5%	N.A.	42.6%	54.6%	54.8%
4B. Foster Home	N.A.	30.3%	N.A.	21.8%	9.1%	9.2%
4B. FFA	N.A.	25.0%	N.A.	19.1%	15.0%	15.1%
4B. Group/Shelter	N.A.	12.9%	N.A.	14.7%	10.9%	11.1%
4B. Other	N.A.	0.3%	N.A.	1.8%	10.4%	9.8%

	Initial		Prin	Primary		Point in Time	
	Place	ement	Place	ement	(PIT) Placement		
	07/01/04	07/01/04-06/30/05		07/01/04-06/30/05		2005	
	Original	Updated	Original	Updated	Original	Updated	
4B. Relative	29.5%	30.7%	38.6%	40.9%	53.6%	54.2%	
4B. Foster Home	29.5%	30.7%	24.1%	22.1%	9.3%	9.3%	
4B. FFA	27.3%	27.2%	23.6%	23.3%	15.7%	15.9%	
4B. Group/Shelter	11.9%	11.0%	11.6%	11.6%	10.2%	10.3%	
4B. Other	1.7%	0.3%	2.0%	2.1%	11.2%	10.3%	

	Initial Placement		Primary Placement		PIT Placement	
	04/01/04-03/31/05		04/01/04-03/31/05		Apr 1,	2005
	Original	Updated	Original	Updated	Original	Updated
4B. Relative	29.2%	30.3%	38.8%	42.2%	53.9%	54.7%
4B. Foster Home	30.1%	30.9%	23.9%	20.5%	9.2%	9.4%
4B. FFA	29.2%	28.3%	25.6%	25.1%	16.2%	16.1%
4B. Group/Shelter	9.8%	10.4%	10.1%	11.6%	10.1%	10.2%
4B. Other	1.7%	0.0%	1.7%	0.6%	10.6%	9.7%

	Initial Placement		Primary Placement		PIT Placement	
	01/01/04	01/01/04-12/31/04		01/01/04-12/31/04		, 2005
	Original	Updated	Original	Updated	Original	Updated
4B. Relative	29.8%	30.7%	38.7%	39.7%	53.7%	55.3%
4B. Foster Home	30.6%	31.7%	24.3%	21.9%	9.0%	9.0%
4B. FFA	29.8%	29.1%	27.5%	26.7%	16.5%	16.4%
4B. Group/Shelter	8.1%	8.5%	7.6%	9.3%	9.3%	9.6%
4B. Other	1.6%	0.0%	1.8%	2.4%	11.4%	9.7%

	Initial		Primary		PIT Placement	
	Place	ement	Placement			
	10/01/03	-09/30/04	10/01/03-09/30/04		Oct 1	2004
	Original	Updated	Original	Updated	Original	Updated
4B. Relative	31.9%	32.1%	40.7%	41.7%	53.4%	54.8%
4B. Foster Home	29.8%	30.6%	25.1%	22.3%	9.7%	9.8%
4B. FFA	28.8%	29.3%	24.9%	25.4%	16.6%	16.4%
4B. Group/Shelter	8.0%	7.8%	6.7%	8.3%	9.2%	9.2%
4B. Other	1.6%	0.3%	2.6%	2.3%	11.1%	9.8%

	Initial		Primary		PIT Placement	
	Place	ement	Placement			
	07/01/03	07/01/03-06/30/04		-06/30/04	Jul 1,	2004
	Original	Updated	Original	Updated	Original	Updated
4B. Relative	35.0%	35.9%	44.7%	48.3%	53.2%	54.8%
4B. Foster Home	24.9%	26.2%	20.8%	18.0%	10.4%	10.4%
4B. FFA	30.4%	29.9%	24.6%	22.8%	16.4%	16.2%
4B. Group/Shelter	8.0%	7.8%	7.7%	8.5%	9.1%	9.1%
4B. Other	1.7%	0.2%	2.2%	2.4%	11.1%	9.5%

	Initial Placement		Primary Placement		PIT Placement	
	04/01/03-	-03/31/04	04/01/03-03/31/04		Apr 1	, 2004
	Original	Updated	Original	Updated	Original	Updated
4B. Relative	40.5%	40.6%	50.6%	54.4%	53.5%	54.9%
4B. Foster Home	22.0%	22.6%	18.0%	14.7%	10.5%	10.2%
4B. FFA	29.7%	30.2%	23.7%	21.8%	16.7%	16.6%
4B. Group/Shelter	6.6%	6.4%	6.4%	6.0%	8.6%	8.6%
4B. Other	1.1%	0.2%	1.3%	3.1%	10.7%	9.8%

	Initial Placement		Primary Placement		PIT Placement	
	01/01/03	-12/31/03	01/01/03-12/31/03		Jan 1	, 2004
	Original	Updated	Original	Updated	Original	Updated
4B. Relative	42.5%	43.3%	53.5%	56.4%	55.6%	57.0%
4B. Foster Home	21.4%	21.7%	17.4%	13.6%	9.9%	9.2%
4B. FFA	27.4%	27.4%	20.4%	20.6%	15.8%	15.6%
4B. Group/Shelter	7.7%	7.2%	7.1%	7.7%	8.8%	9.0%
4B. Other	1.1%	0.4%	1.5%	1.8%	9.9%	9.3%

	Initial Placement		Primary Placement		PIT Placement	
	10/01/02-09/30/03		10/01/02-09/30/03		Oct 1	, 2003
	Original	Updated	Original	Updated	Original	Updated
4B. Relative	41.4%	42.3%	51.5%	56.8%	55.1%	56.2%
4B. Foster Home	24.5%	24.8%	20.5%	15.5%	10.0%	9.3%
4B. FFA	25.6%	25.4%	19.7%	19.1%	16.6%	16.6%
4B. Group/Shelter	7.6%	7.1%	5.7%	7.3%	8.6%	8.8%
4B. Other	0.9%	0.4%	2.6%	1.3%	9.7%	9.1%

	Initial Placement		Primary Placement		PIT Placement	
	07/01/02-06/30/03		07/01/02-06/30/03		Jul 1, 2003	
	Original	Updated	Original	Updated	Original	Updated
4B. Relative	42.3%	44.1%	52.2%	56.5%	54.4%	55.5%
4B. Foster Home	24.1%	24.0%	18.6%	15.0%	10.7%	10.2%
4B. FFA	24.9%	23.6%	19.2%	18.3%	16.3%	15.8%
4B. Group/Shelter	8.2%	8.0%	6.7%	9.2%	8.7%	8.8%
4B. Other	0.4%	0.4%	3.2%	1.0%	9.9%	9.6%

**Rate of ICWA Placement Preferences (4E)

4E (1) This measure reflects the percent of Indian Child Welfare Act eligible children placed in foster care settings as identified with ICWA eligibility ("y"). This data was developed by CDSS. It is a state outcome measure.

URL: http://cssr.berkeley.edu/CWSCMSreports/Ccfsr.asp#4E

Q1 2006	Original
4E. Relative Home	28.6%
4E. Non-Relative Indian Family	14.3%
4E. Non-Relative Non-Indian Family	50.0%
Q4 2005	Original
4E. Relative Home	27.3%
4E. Non-Relative Indian Family	9.1%
4E. Non-Relative Non-Indian Family	54.5%
Q3 2005	Original
4E. Relative Home	18.2%
4E. Non-Relative Indian Family	18.2%
4E. Non-Relative Non-Indian Family	54.5%
Q2 2005	Original
4E. Relative Home	33.3%
4E. Non-Relative Indian Family	0.0%
4E. Non-Relative Non-Indian Family	55.6%
04.0005	Onininal
Q1 2005	Original
4E. Relative Home	62.5%
4E. Non-Relative Indian Family	12.5%
4E. Non-Relative Non-Indian Family	25.0%
Q4 2004	Original
4E. Relative Home	Original 50.0%
4E. Non-Relative Indian Family	8.3%
4E. Non-Relative Non-Indian Family	33.3%
4L. Non-relative Non-indian i anniy	39.570
Q3 2004	Original
4E. Relative Home	56.3%
4E. Non-Relative Indian Family	12.5%
4E. Non-Relative Non-Indian Family	25.0%
in the state of th	20.070
Q2 2004	Original
4E. Relative Home	58.8%
4E. Non-Relative Indian Family	5.9%
	J.370

Q1 2004	Original
4E. Relative Home	71.4%
4E. Non-Relative Indian Family	0.0%
4E. Non-Relative Non-Indian Family	28.6%
Q4 2003	Original
4E. Relative Home	46.2%
4E. Non-Relative Indian Family	0.0%
4E. Non-Relative Non-Indian Family	53.8%
Q3 2003	Original
4E. Relative Home	53.3%
4E. Non-Relative Indian Family	0.0%
4E. Non-Relative Non-Indian Family	46.7%
Q2 2003	Original
4E. Relative Home	58.8%
4E. Non-Relative Indian Family	5.9%
4E. Non-Relative Non-Indian Family	35.3%

4E (2) This measure reflects the percent of Indian Child Welfare Act eligible children as identified with primary or mixed (multi) ethnicity of American Indian placed in foster care settings. This data was developed by CDSS. It is a state outcome measure. URL: http://cssr.berkeley.edu/CWSCMSreports/Ccfsr.asp#4E

Q1 2006	Original
4E. Relative Home	34.5%
4E. Non-Relative Indian Family	5.5%
4E. Non-Relative Non-Indian Family	54.5%
Q4 2005	Original
4E. Relative Home	26.1%
4E. Non-Relative Indian Family	2.2%
4E. Non-Relative Non-Indian Family	63.0%
Q3 2005	Original
4E. Relative Home	38.1%
4E. Non-Relative Indian Family	4.8%
4E. Non-Relative Non-Indian Family	50.0%
Q2 2005	Original
4E. Relative Home	37.5%
4E. Non-Relative Indian Family	0.0%
4E. Non-Relative Non-Indian Family	55.0%
Q1 2005	Original
4E. Relative Home	41.0%
4E. Non-Relative Indian Family	2.6%
4E. Non-Relative Non-Indian Family	48.7%
	16.176
Q4 2004	Original
4E. Relative Home	32.5%
4E. Non-Relative Indian Family	2.5%
4E. Non-Relative Non-Indian Family	55.0%
Q3 2004	Original
4E. Relative Home	38.1%
4E. Non-Relative Indian Family	4.8%
4E. Non-Relative Indian Family	50.0%
4L. Non-Kelauve Non-Indian Lamily	30.070
Q2 2004	Original
4E. Relative Home	41.0%
4E. Non-Relative Indian Family	5.1%
4E. Non-Relative Non-Indian Family	48.7%
Q1 2004	Original
4E. Relative Home	56.3%
4E. Non-Relative Indian Family	0.0%
4E. Non-Relative Indian Family	43.8%
TL. INOTITION INCTITIONALLY	43.0 /0

^{**}Measure 4E(2) was recently developed to reflect percent of ICWA eligible placement types. For county information only. CDSS does not update these measures due to recent methodology changes, which render comparisons between current and previous data meaningless. NOTE: For measures 4E (1) and 4E (2), values for Q1 2004 and Q2 2004 were corrected in April 2006 reports and therefore may not correspond to previously published values.

Children Transitioning to Self-Sufficient Adulthood (8A)

These measures are designed to reflect the degree to which children and families receiving child welfare services are receiving the services necessary to provide for their care and developmental needs.

This measure reflects the number of foster children eligible for Independent Living Services who receive appropriate educational and training, and/or achieve employment or economic self-sufficiency. The data was collected by CDSS. This measure includes data regarding youths, ages 16 through 20, who receive services from the Independent Living Foster Care Program. It identifies the number of youths receiving Independent Living Program services, the program outcomes for those youths, and certain client characteristics. This report is limited to a subset population obtained from State of California form 405A. It is a state outcome measure.

This data is based on hard copy reports submitted by counties to the CDSS for the time period covered by the report. These numbers are updated once per year.

URL: http://www.dss.cahwnet.gov/research/SOC405A-In 415.htm

Number of Children Transitioning to Self-Sufficient Adulthood with:

10/01/04-9/30/05	Original
8A. High School Diploma	286
8A. Enrolled in College/Higher Education	115
8A. Received ILP Services	935
8A. Completed Vocational Training	120
8A. Employed or other means of support	237
10/01/03-9/30/04	Original
8A. High School Diploma	202
8A. Enrolled in College/Higher Education	74
8A. Received ILP Services	952
8A. Completed Vocational Training	65
8A. Employed or other means of support	103
10/01/02-9/30/03	Original
8A. High School Diploma	209
8A. Enrolled in College/Higher Education	94
8A. Received ILP Services	892
8A. Completed Vocational Training	31
8A. Employed or other means of support	56
10/01/01-09/30/02	Original
8A. High School Diploma	149
8A. Enrolled in College/Higher Education	96
8A. Received ILP Services	783
8A. Completed Vocational Training	35
8A. Employed or other means of support	149